

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
2	BOB STUMP		
3	Chairman Arizona Corporation Commission GARY PIERCE DOCKETED		
4	BRENDA BURNS		
5	Commissioner FEB 2 0 2013 BOB BURNS		
6	Commissioner DOCKETED BY SUSAN BITTER SMITH		
7	Commissioner		
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) DOCKET NO. E-01345A-12-0482		
9	OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE) DECISION NO. <u>73729</u> COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF TWO)		
10	QUALIFYING FACILITY AGREEMENTS) <u>ORDER</u> (DOCKET NO. E-01345A-12-0482))		
11			
12			
13			
14	Open Meeting February 12 and 13, 2013		
15	Phoenix, Arizona		
16	BY THE COMMISSION:		
17	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>		
18	A. Introduction		
19	1. Arizona Public Service Company ("APS" or "Company") is certificated to provide		
20	electric service as a public service corporation in the state of Arizona. On November 30, 2012		
21	APS filed an application for approval of two Qualifying Facility ("QF") agreements pursuant to		
22	Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission" or "ACC") Decision No. 52345 (July 27, 1981).		
23	The Staff recommends approval of the two QF agreements which are the subject of APS's		
24	application.		
25	B. <u>Discussion</u>		
26	2. On November 15, 2012, APS entered into two agreements for Electric Service to a		
27	Qualifying Facility and for Purchase of Energy from a Qualifying Facility with CSE Operating I,		
28	LLC ("Buckeye Agreement" or "Buckeye Campus) and Solar and Renewables Management, LLC		

("Southern Agreement" or "Southern Generation"). The Company is required to obtain Commission approval of the agreements pursuant to ACC Decision No. 52345 (July 27, 1981) and the Commission's Cogeneration and Small Power Production Policy Statement. On December 6, 2012, APS filed a letter waiving the 30-day time clock under which the agreements would take effect by operation of law unless acted upon by the Commission.

- 3. CSE Operating I, LLC and Solar and Renewables Management, LLC are special purpose entities formed to develop, construct and own the Buckeye generating facility. The managing company for both special purpose entities is Environmental Capital Management, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company in good standing with the Commission as of November 21, 2012 (File Number L-1246048-2).
- 4. Both QFs are located in APS service territory just outside the Town of Buckeye, and each facility has a capacity of 600 kW of electric generation using biogas.
- 5. Biogas typically refers to a gas produced by the breakdown of organic matter in the absence of oxygen. Organic waste such as dead plant and animal material, animal feces, and kitchen waste can be converted into a gaseous fuel called biogas. Biogas originates from biogenic material and is a type of biofuel. The Buckeye Campus and Southern Generation facilities will use manure from the dairy farms on which they are both located. Both facilities have filed a self-certification of QF status pursuant to Federal Electric Regulatory Commission's ("FERC") rules and regulations (I 8 CFR \$292.207), and FERC has accepted both of the filings.
- 6. In 1978, the United States Congress enacted the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act ("PURPA") to encourage the conservation of electric energy and natural gas as part of a broad response to the global energy crisis of the late 1970's. Section 210 of PURPA accomplishes this goal by promoting the development of alternative energy resources through the establishment of a class of generating facilities, known as Qualifying Facilities or "QFs", which receive by law special rate and regulatory treatment. Under PURPA, FERC was authorized to develop rules requiring electric utilities to both purchase electricity from and sell electricity to QFs. FERC subsequently issued regulations requiring utilities to purchase energy and capacity from QFs at rates equal to the utility's "avoided cost," which is generically defined as the incremental energy

and capacity cost the utility would otherwise incur if not for the energy purchased from the QF.¹

- 7. PURPA required state regulatory authorities to implement FERC's rules for the encouragement of cogeneration and small power production. On July 27, 1981 (Decision No. 52345), the Commission adopted a Cogeneration and Small Power Production Policy. One provision of the Policy is that all contracts for the sale and/or purchase of energy between utilities and QFs over 100 kW shall be submitted to the Commission for review and approval. No specific rate must be filed prior to the execution of the contract, but the rates would generally be based on the utility's avoided cost.
- 8. On November 15, 2012, APS executed both the Buckeye Agreement and the Southern Agreement for the sale and purchase of electric energy from QFs with CSE Operating I, LLC and Solar and Renewables Management, LLC, respectively. The Agreements are virtually identical and provide APS with the full output of both facilities for successive one-year terms that will automatically renew each year, unless there is a sixty (60) day termination notice by either party.
- 9. Pricing for purchases under the Agreements are derived from the Company's time-of-use avoided costs as approved by the Commission and set forth in APS Rate Schedule EPR-2. Energy will be supplied to APS on a non-firm basis. PURPA also requires utilities to provide electric energy to QFs at rates which are just and reasonable, in the public interest and which do not discriminate against cogenerators and small power producers. APS states that the energy provided to the QFs will be priced at the applicable Commission approved APS rate for similarly-situated retail customers. APS also states that as the QFs will not require standby, supplemental, or maintenance power, and are not large enough to qualify for Rate Schedule E-36 (Station Use), the facilities will be served under one of the E-32 family of rate schedules.

¹ The Energy Policy Acto 0f 2005 ("2005 EPAct") modified Section 210 of PURPA by eliminating the utility's obligation to purchase QF power if the utility demonstrates that a QF can sell its power in a competitive wholesale market for energy and capacity, FERC adopted regulations which specify that where a FERC-approved ISO or RTO exists there is a rebuttable presumption that the QF has access to competitive wholesale markets. FERC's regulations also created a rebuttable presumption that a QF with a generating capacity at or less than 20 MW does not have nondiscriminatory access to a competitive market, and utilities must therefore continue to purchase power from the QF.

C. Staff Recommendation

2 10. Staff has recommended approval of both agreements. The agreements would give 3 APS another means to help meet system demand during times of supply shortages.

11. Staff has further recommended that the Commission specify in its Order that approval of the agreements at this time does not guarantee any future ratemaking treatment of the agreements with CSE Operating I, LLC and Solar and Renewables Management, LLC.

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

4

5

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Arizona Public Service is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.

2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Arizona Public Service and over the subject matter of the application.

3. The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's memorandum dated January 23, 2013, concludes that it is in the public interest to approve the two Qualifying Facility agreements.

15

16

17 ...

18 .

19 ...

20 | . .

21 ...

22 ...

23 ...

24 ...

25 ...

26

27 ...

28

SMO:PML:sms\MAS

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that both Qualifying Facility agreements, between Arizona Public Service Company and CSE Operating I, LLC; and Arizona Public Service Company and Solar and Renewables Management, LLC, dated November 15, 2012, are hereby approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission's approval of these agreements at this time does not guarantee any future ratemaking treatment of the two agreements.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION				
My Gand Suin				
CHAIRMAN				
Round Sum Mallat, Burn Sur Benti				
COMMISSIONER / COMMISSIONER / COMMISSIONER				
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JODI JERICH, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this not have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this not have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this not have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this not have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this not have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this not have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this not have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this not have hereunto, and have hereunt				
DISSENT:				
DISSENT:				

Decision No. 73729

	Page 6	Docket No E-01345A-12-048
1 2	SERVICE LIST FOR: Arizona Public Service DOCKET NO. E-01345A-12-0482	
3	Thomas Mumaw Arizona Public Service Company 400 N. 5th St.	
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	
6	Mr. Steven M. Olea Director, Utilities Division	
7	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
8		
9	Ms. Janice M. Alward Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street	
10 11		
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		S
26		
27		

Decision No. 73729

28